Case 3:05-cv-05612-FDB Document 8 Filed 09/28/2005 Page 1 of 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA WILLIAM D. BURKETT, 10 Case No. C05-5612FDB Petitioner, 11 ORDER REFUSING TO SERVE v. PETITION AND DIRECTING 12 PETITIONER TO SHOW CAUSE MAGGIE MILLER-STOUT, 13 Respondent. 14 15 The Court, having reviewed the record, does hereby find and ORDER: 16 (1) The matter before the court is construed as a motion for collateral relief from a state 17 conviction. Accordingly, the court treats this matter as a § 2254 petition for writ of habeas corpus. 18 The Court will **not** order a response to the petition because the petition does not indicate that 19 petitioner's grounds for federal relief have been properly exhausted in state court. Specifically, the petition 20 does <u>not</u> show that his claims for federal relief have been presented to the Washington State Supreme 21 Court. 22 The exhaustion of state court remedies is a prerequisite to the granting of a petition for writ of 23 habeas corpus. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1). If exhaustion is to be waived, it must be waived explicitly by 24 respondent. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(3). A waiver of exhaustion, thus, may not be implied or inferred. A 25 petition can satisfy the exhaustion requirement by providing the highest state court with a full and fair 26 opportunity to consider all claims before presenting them to the federal court. Picard v. Connor, 404 U.S. 27 270, 276 (1971); Middleton v. Cupp, 768 F.2d 1083, 1086 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 478 U.S. 1021 (1986). 28 **ORDER**

Burkett v. Miller-Stout

Page - 1

Doc. 8

Ca	se 3:05-cv-05612-FDB	Document 8	Filed 09/28/2005	Page 2 of 2	
Full and fair presentation of claims to the state court requires "full factual development" of the claims in					
that forum. Kenney v. Tamayo-Reyes, 504 U.S. 1, 8 (1992).					
(2)	(2) Petitioner is directed to file his petition on the appropriate court form used for § 2254				
petitions and should show cause by October 26, 2005, why the petition should not be dismissed for lack of					
exhaustion.					
(3)	The Clerk is directed to	send a blank cop	y of a § 2254 petition f	for Mr. Burkett to review	
and submit to the court, along with copies of this Order and the General Order to petitioner.					
DATED this 28th day of September, 2005.					
		J. Kelley A	ey Arnold rnold tes Magistrate		